

Children and families' experiences of adhering to scar treatments

¹Jessica Killey, ^{1,2}Dr Megan Simons, ^{1,2}Professor Roy Kimble, ¹Dr Zephanie Tyack

¹Centre for Children's Burns and Trauma Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia, ²Queensland Children's Hospital, Children's Health Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. Correspondence: jessica.killev@uqconnect.edu.au

Background

- A range of scar treatments (such as pressure garments, silicone, moisturisers, massage, splinting) are routinely recommended by burns clinicians.
- Scar treatment regimens are often complex and time consuming, placing a heavy burden on families.
- 41 77% of adults with burns adhere to pressure garment regimens¹⁻³.
- Little is known about adherence to scar treatments for children with burns and their caregivers.

Methods

- A constructivist arounded theory approach was taken⁴
- Interviews conducted with children with burns (8 years and older) and caregivers (of children all ages).
- Outcomes measured included caregiver health literacy (Health Literacy Questionnaire⁵), caregiver comorbidity (Disease Burden Morbidity Assessment⁶) and child scar symptoms (physical, sensory and emotional domains of the Brisbane Burn Scar Impact Profile⁷).
- A juggling act

by CC BY-SA_40 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/40

Preliminary Results 13 interviews conducted with children and caregivers.

Caregivers (n = 11)91% female; median age 35 years (IQR: 30 - 40 years)

Children (n = 2)Male 12 years Female 8 years

Tentative categories:

- Supporting routines for scar treatments.
- Using distraction to facilitate scar treatments in young children.



Implications

- May inform patient assessment and choice of scar treatments.
- May contribute to clinical guidelines.
- Development of an intervention to optimise adherence for children with burns and their caregivers.

Research aims:

- To understand children with burns and their caregivers' 1. perceptions of adherence to scar treatments.
- 2. To develop a grounded theory and theoretical framework for the experience of adhering to scar treatments in children with burns.

References 1, Johnson J, Greenspan B, Gorga D, Nagler W, Goodwin C, Compliance with pressure garment use in burn rehabilitation, Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation. 1994; 15(2): 180-8.

- 2. Rosser P. Adherence to Pressure Garment Therapy of Post Traumatic Burn Injury, Journal of Burn Care & Rehabilitation, 2000;21;S178, Stewart R. Bhagwanjee AM. Mbakaza Y. Binase T. Pressure garment adherence in adult patients with bum injuries; an analysis of patient and cliniciar perceptions. American Journal of Occupational Therapy. 2000;54(6):598-606.
- Charmaz K. Constructing grounded theory: Sage; 2014.
- Osborne RH, Batterham RW, Elsworth GR, Hawkins M, Buchbinder R. The grounde Literacy Ouestionnaire (HLO), BMC Public Health, 2013;13(1):658. 5. Poitras M-E, Fortin M, Hudon C, Haggerty J, Almirall J. Validation of the

population. BMC Health Services Research. 2012;12(1):35.

7. Tyack Z, Ziviani J, Kimble R, Plaza A, Jones A, Cuttle L, et al. Measuring the impact of bum scarring on health-related quality of life: development and oreliminary content validation of the Brisbane Burn Scar Impact Profile (BBSIP) for children and adults, Burns, 2015;41(7):1405-19.



Centre For Children's Burns & Trauma Research



THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND AUSTRALIA



- The children with burns and their caregivers who have participated in this project.
 - The staff from the Pegg Leditschke Children's Burns Centre.



Do not cover this area - Do not cover this area.

